

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

DAVID C. GEVAS, #B41175

Plaintiff,

v.

R. SHEARING; WEXFORD HEALTH
SOURCES, INC.; NURSE BUTLER;
RONALD SKIDMORE; MS. MALLEY;
ASSISTANT WARDEN BUTLER; AND
WARDEN HARRINGTON,

Defendants.

Cause No. 14-cv-00134-NJR-DGW

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Jeremy Butler, RN, Robert Shearing, M.D., and Wexford Health Sources, Inc. seek entry of a Protective Order concerning documents they produce including, but not limited to, Wexford's contract with IDOC and any and all policies and procedures produced in response to plaintiff's written discovery requests. A Protective Order is necessary and appropriate under the terms of Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court being otherwise fully advised, it is hereby ordered (in addition to the caveat noted in the April 21, 2015 Order (Doc. 174):

1. Copies of the documents the defendants produce in this action stamped with the phrase "Confidential and Subject to Protective Order" (the "protected documents") shall be protected and given confidential treatment as described in this Order.

2. Plaintiff shall be permitted to inspect such documents at a mutually agreed upon date and time in accordance with IDOC procedures and guidelines, but shall not be permitted to photocopy or otherwise obtain copies of such documents without the Order of this Court.

3. These protected documents shall be maintained in confidence by defendants' counsel and shall not be disclosed to any person except:

- a. This Court and its officers;
- b. Stenographic reporters;
- c. Counsel for Defendants;
- d. Employees of counsel; and
- e. Experts retained in this litigation.

4. Except as otherwise provided by this Order or by further order of the Court, the protected documents shall be used for no purpose other than prosecuting or defending the above-captioned proceeding and shall be disclosed in the manner provided in and only to the persons identified in Paragraphs 2 and 3, and they shall not be used in any other civil case, criminal case, litigation, or matter.

5. Individuals or entities permitted access to protected documents under Paragraph 3 above are hereby ordered not to show, convey or reproduce any information or document so designated, or parts thereof, or copies thereof, or any matter contained therein, or any abstracts or summaries thereof, to any individual or to any entity who would not otherwise have access to said material under the provisions of this Order, unless they secure the written consent of the designating party or obtain permission from this Court, upon motion and with notice to all parties.

6. Any party may apply to the Court for relief from, or modification of, this Order.

7. This Order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to object to the production of documents or information that it considers to be privileged, irrelevant or immaterial, and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privilege or immunity from

discovery or as a concession by the designated party that such information is relevant or material to any issue.

8. This Order shall not prevent any of the protected documents from being used by the Court or counsel of record at any trial or other proceeding in this action only, subject to such confidentiality provisions as the Court may then prescribe.

9. Following the termination of this litigation, whether by final judgment and appeal or by settlement, the parties shall destroy or return the protected documents.

10. This Protective Order shall remain in full force and effect after the termination of this litigation, or until canceled, vacated or otherwise modified by order of this Court.

11. In the event that either party wishes to file a document or information under seal, that party must first file a motion in advance seeking to file the document[s] or information under seal (With the limited exception of information covered by Local Rule 5.1(d)). When filing the motion to seal, the party will contemporaneously submit the document[s] or information in question to the Court for *in camera* review. The Court will then make an individualized determination of whether any such document[s] or information can be filed under seal. *See Citizens First Nat'l Bank v. Cincinnati Ins. Co.*, 178 F.3d 943 (7th Cir. 1999); *Baxter Int., Inc. v. Abbot Labs.* 297 F.3d 544 (7th Cir. 2002); *Bond v. Utreras*, 585 F.3d 1061, 1073-76 (7th Cir. 2009). Any document or information filed under seal without prior Court approval will be unsealed and become part of the public record.

12. Anyone with knowledge of the contents of this order shall be bound by its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 21, 2015



DONALD G. WILKERSON
United States Magistrate Judge